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OC Supply GAP Findings in 2040
Average shortages under scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Delta Fix</td>
<td>33,600 AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Fix</td>
<td>5,430 AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Delta Fix</td>
<td>76,800 AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Fix</td>
<td>17,930 AF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Planned Conditions | Moderately Stressed | Significantly Stressed
Two Types of Water Reliability

System
How well can the infrastructure serve customers if parts of the local or regional system are out of service

Supply
Having the wet stuff to meet supplies on a sustainable basis

Overall Reliability Objectives

- Provide accurate, peer-reviewed information on current water supplies and how combinations of future projects - portfolios - would impact future supply shortfalls
- Collaborative process with team consisting of water planners, modelers, climate experts, seismic experts and member agency experts!
- Not intended to endorse nor provide support for any specific potential local project
- Provide advocacy input to MET’s IRP
Study Phasing

**Phase 1**
- Develop data, models, OC water demand projections for 25 years and analyze supply & system gaps under various scenarios
- Develop list of projects that could fill the gaps

**Phase 1 Extension**
- Workshops to gather input from member agencies

**Phase 2**
- Quantifies the reliability improvement from project portfolios
- Portfolios target specific gains in supply reliability, such as lower-cost, higher reliability, more local control, etc.

Three Study Areas

The study looked at the entire county, breaking it into three subareas:

1. Brea and La Habra
2. OCWD Basin
3. South County
What are GAPs, Scenarios and Portfolios?

- GAPs represent the inability to meet demands under the given Scenario
  - Supply GAPs due to hydrology or regulatory droughts or water demand growth that is higher than expected
  - System GAPs due to emergencies – primarily earthquakes & power outages
  - System and supply GAPs are analyzed differently
- A Scenario is NOT a prediction, but a plausible planning outcome
- Portfolios are combinations of potential water-supply projects

Major Uncertainties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Range of Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California WaterFix</td>
<td>No/Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET Demands (growth)</td>
<td>Lower/Higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET IRP Policies &amp; Investments</td>
<td>Higher or Lower Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC Demands</td>
<td>Rebound from 2015/WUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Local Supplies</td>
<td>Low, High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional WUE</td>
<td>Low, High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Variability/Change (CRA &amp; SWP)</td>
<td>None, Moderate, High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Ana River Baseflows</td>
<td>Low, Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Reclamation Basin Study</td>
<td>Long Term Sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWR Projections of SWP Yield</td>
<td>Range of Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquakes</td>
<td>Will happen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Six Planning Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITH California WaterFix</th>
<th>WITHOUT California WaterFix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Planned Conditions</td>
<td>2) Planned Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Moderately Stressed Conditions</td>
<td>4) Moderately Stressed Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Significantly Stressed Conditions</td>
<td>6) Significantly Stressed Conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Phase 1 SUPPLY GAP Findings
OC Water Demand Forecast

Total Orange County Water Demand Forecast

- Existing Levels of Conservation
- Baseline New Passive/Active Conservation
- 20% Landscape Conversion

OC Supply GAP Findings in 2040
Average shortages under scenarios

Supply GAP (Acre Feet)

- Scenario 1:
  - No Delta Fix: 33,600 AF
  - Delta Fix: 5,430 AF
- Scenario 2:
  - No Delta Fix: 76,800 AF
  - Delta Fix: 17,930 AF
- Scenario 2
  - No Delta Fix: 129,200 AF
  - Delta Fix: 67,800 AF

Planned Conditions:
- Moderately Stressed
- Significantly Stressed
Supply GAP Observations

Orange County supply reliability is dependent on combination of actions by DWR, MET and OC agencies.

Using Scenario 1 (MET’s assumptions), supply gaps can be managed, especially with construction the California Water Fix.

OC’s potential projects include enough options to satisfy demands under Scenario 1 (with or without California Fix).

Supply reliability suffers under tougher scenarios that increase demands and incorporate climate change impacts on SWP, CRA and local hydrology; the outlook is substantially more challenging under Scenario 3 without the Delta Fix.

Phase 1 System GAP Findings
Primary Risks are:
• Earthquake
• Power Outages

Where Orange County Gets Its Water
50% Import - 50% Local

X = Potential Earthquake Locations

Seismic Impacts Analysis

- Utilized the services of GeoPentech and G&E Engineering for technical support
- Conducted high level review of MET system and OC Water Systems
- Outage information is consistent with prior MET scenarios
- MWDOC developed Spreadsheet Tool to Assist Local Agencies in examining a range of emergency outage scenarios
Seismic Impacts to OCWD Basin

- The number of wells in the OCWD basin at risk from permanent ground deformation is small - about 11 wells out of 199 major wells in the Basin.
- Local agency water system damage in close proximity to fault could be substantial.
- For agencies further from faults, the restart of well production could be hampered by:
  - Well head damage
  - Power outages
  - Damage to local distribution system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Duration of SYSTEM Outages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliability Event</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Transmission Lines in OC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET Regional Conveyance Outside of OC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado River Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diemer WTP Outage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Levee Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonston Pumping Plant &amp; East/West Branch Outages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Water Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Grid Outages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Phase 1 Summary**

- Demands developed for OC that account for recent conservation trends
- Supply Gaps are projected by MET’s IRP and the OC Model if future investments in water projects are not made
- The California WaterFix improves Supply Reliability considerably, but additional investments are required
- Emergency System Gaps will occur following major earthquake events without additional investments
- Investments can be made by MET, OC entities or some combination thereof
Next Step: Phase 1 Extension

- Gather Input from Member Agencies
  - 3-5 workshops
  - Understand implications from Phase 1
  - Additional model runs with modified assumptions
  - Phase 2 Scoping
    - Financial/Economics of decisions
  - Complete workshops in January
  - Begin Phase 2

Need for Phase 2

- Planning + Investments = Reliability
- Key issues in Phase 2
  - Balancing OC decisions with MET decisions
  - Considering decisions given future uncertainties
  - Utilization of OCWD Basin by producers and others
- Providing local officials best information to chart course for their decisions affecting their stakeholders
Phase 2 Challenges/Rewards

For Elected Officials

OC Water Reliability

With Water Fix

Without Water Fix

Comfort with Risk

Level of Investment

Questions