

# Contents

<b>Study Participants</b> .....	i
<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	ES-1
Study Background and Rationale .....	ES-1
Study Methodology.....	ES-1
Evaluation Results.....	ES-4
Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations .....	ES-6
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b> .....	1-1
1.1 Overview .....	1-1
1.2 Background .....	1-1
1.3 Study Goal and Objectives.....	1-2
1.4 Study Partners .....	1-2
1.4.1 Agencies Responsible for Water Quality.....	1-3
1.4.2 Water Agencies.....	1-4
1.4.3 Supporting Participants .....	1-5
1.5 Report Organization.....	1-5
1.6 Abbreviations and Acronyms .....	1-6
<b>Chapter 2: Study Methodology</b> .....	2-1
2.1 Overview .....	2-1
2.2 Study Design .....	2-1
2.2.1 Development of the Study Area .....	2-2
2.2.2 Flow Monitoring / Water Quality Sampling .....	2-5
2.2.3 ET Irrigation Controller Operation and Selection Process .....	2-6
2.3 Study Evaluations .....	2-7
2.3.1 Water Conservation Evaluation.....	2-8
2.3.2 Dry Season Runoff Reduction Savings Quantification.....	2-9
2.3.3 Water Quality Impacts Assessment .....	2-9
2.3.4 Public Acceptance / Public Education Approach.....	2-9
<b>Chapter 3: Water Conservation</b> .....	3-1
3.1 Overview .....	3-1
3.2 Evaluation Approach.....	3-1
3.2.1 Overall Evaluation Approach.....	3-1
3.2.2 Records Review Process.....	3-2
3.2.3 Data Assessment Techniques .....	3-3
3.3 Evaluation Results .....	3-3
3.3.1 Estimated Single-family Residential Water Demand .....	3-3
3.3.2 Estimated Landscape Customer Water Demand .....	3-5
3.3.3 Effect of ET Controllers on Seasonal Peak Demand .....	3-6
3.4 Conclusions .....	3-8

<b>Chapter 4: Runoff</b> .....	4-1
4.1 Overview .....	4-1
4.2 Evaluation Approach.....	4-1
4.2.1 Data Collection.....	4-1
4.2.2 Ranking Collected Data.....	4-3
4.2.3 Data Methods.....	4-3
4.3 Evaluation Results.....	4-5
4.3.1 Pre-intervention Period .....	4-6
4.3.2 Post-intervention Period.....	4-7
4.3.3 Comparison Across Sites .....	4-8
4.4 Conclusions .....	4-8
<b>Chapter 5: Water Quality</b> .....	5-1
5.1 Overview .....	5-1
5.2 Introduction.....	5-1
5.3 SCCWRP Water Quality Review.....	5-1
5.3.1 Evaluation Approach.....	5-2
5.3.2 Sampling and Laboratory Analysis .....	5-2
5.3.3 Data Analysis.....	5-2
5.3.4 Evaluation Results.....	5-4
5.3.5 Interpretation of Results .....	5-7
5.3.6 Watershed Implications .....	5-8
5.4 Geosyntec Water Quality Review .....	5-9
5.4.1 Examples of Alternative Approaches to Data Analysis.....	5-9
5.4.2 Data Analysis Methods .....	5-9
5.4.3 Example Results.....	5-9
5.4.4 Watershed Implications .....	5-18
5.5 Conclusions .....	5-33
<b>Chapter 6: Public Education</b> .....	6-1
6.1 Overview .....	6-1
6.2 Evaluation Approach.....	6-1
6.2.1 ET Technology + Education (Retrofit Group) .....	6-1
6.2.2 Education Only .....	6-1
6.2.3 Customer Interaction.....	6-2
6.3 Customer Surveys .....	6-2
6.3.1 Pre-survey.....	6-2
6.3.2 Post-survey.....	6-5
6.3.3 Education Only and Retrofit Group Responses.....	6-6
6.4 Conclusions .....	6-6
<b>Chapter 7: Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations</b> .....	7-1
7.1 Overview .....	7-1
7.2 Study Methods .....	7-1
7.2.1 Water Conservation.....	7-1
7.2.2 Runoff Reduction.....	7-2
7.2.3 Water Quality .....	7-2
7.2.4 Public Acceptance .....	7-2

7.3	Study Results .....	7-3
	7.3.1 Water Conservation and Runoff Reduction .....	7-3
	7.3.2 Water Quality .....	7-5
	7.3.3 Public Education.....	7-6
7.4	Recommendations .....	7-6

**Appendices**

A:	References .....	A-1
B:	Study Design .....	B-1
C:	Statistical Analysis of Water Savings .....	C-1
D1:	Statistical Analysis of Urban Runoff Reduction.....	D-1
D2:	Residential Runoff Reduction Study Update – 2003 Runoff Data.....	D-2
E1:	The Effect of Technology and Public Education on the Water Quality of Dry Weather Runoff from Residential Neighborhoods.....	E1-1
E2:	Technical Assistance Residential Runoff Reduction (R3) Report .....	E2-1
F:	Public Acceptance .....	F-1

<b>Tables, Figures &amp; Exhibits</b> .....	v
---	---

## Tables, Figures & Exhibits

Table 2-1	Summary of Neighborhoods.....	2-2
Table 2-2	Study Sites Land Use and Treatment Summary.....	2-7
Table 3-1	Summary of Water Conservation Evaluation Approach for Single-family Residences .....	3-1
Table 3-2	Summary of Water Conservation Approach for Large Landscapes.....	3-2
Table 3-3	Single-family Residential Water Demand Model .....	3-4
Table 3-4	Landscape Customer Water Demand Model.....	3-6
Table 4-1	Summary of Dry Weather Runoff Evaluation Approach.....	4-1
Table 4-2	Estimated Area of Study Sites by Land Use .....	4-4
Table 4-3	Estimated Area of Study Sites .....	4-5
Table 4-4	Robust Regression Estimates of Mean Dry Day Runoff.....	4-5
Table 4-5	Study Site Comparisons of Pre Period Flow vs. Post Period Flow.....	4-6
Table 5-1	Reporting Level and Method for Target Parameters .....	5-3
Table 5-2	Significance of ANOVA Results.....	5-6
Table 5-3	Correlation Coefficients (and p value) of Constituent Concentrations .....	5-7
Table 5-4	Comparison of Mean Concentrations (95% confidence intervals) in Residential Dry Weather Discharges.....	5-8
Table 5-5	Example Table of Descriptive Statistics for Total Nitrogen for Each Site for Pre- and Post-intervention.....	5-12
Table 5-6	Example of Kruskal-Wallis Test Results for Total Nitrogen at the Test Sites Prior to Intervention.....	5-13
Table 5-7	Example of Kruskal-Wallis Test Results for Total Nitrogen at Sites 1001, 1002, and 1005 Prior to Intervention.....	5-13
Table 5-8	Example Mann-Whitney Test for Difference in Medians for Total Nitrogen at Site 1001 from Pre- Versus Post-intervention.....	5-16
Table 5-9	Example Mann-Whitney Test for Difference in Medians for Total Nitrogen at Site 1002 from Pre- Versus Post-intervention.....	5-16
Table 5-10	Example Mann-Whitney Test for Difference in Medians for Total Nitrogen at Site 1005 from Pre- Versus Post-intervention.....	5-17
Table 5-11	Example Mann-Whitney Test for Difference in Medians for Total Nitrogen Flux at Site 1001 from Pre- Versus Post-intervention .....	5-18
Table 5-12	Comparison of Dry Season Concentrations of Nutrients and Toxics at R3 Study Sites with Regulatory Objectives .....	5-19
Table 5-13	Comparison of Dry Season Concentrations of Metals and Pathogens at R3 Study Sites with R3 Study Sites with Regulatory Objectives.....	5-20
Table 5-14	Summary of Nutrient TMDLs for Upper Newport Bay and San Diego Creek .....	5-21
Table 5-15	Mean and Median Nitrate/Nitrite Concentration (mg/l) by Site .....	5-21
Table 5-16	Mean and Median Nitrate/Nitrite Concentration (mg/l) by Site for Comparable Seasons and Sampling Times.....	5-22
Table 5-17	Mean and Median TN Concentrations (mg/l) by Site .....	5-23
Table 5-18	Mean and Median TN Flux (mg-N/acre/day) by Site .....	5-24
Table 5-19	Estimated Annual TN Loads in Dry Weather Runoff from Urban Areas in the San Diego Creek Watershed .....	5-25
Table 5-20	Mean and Median TP Concentration (mg/l) by Site .....	5-26
Table 5-21	Mean and Median TP Flux (mg-P/acre/day) by Site .....	5-27

Table 5-22	Estimated Annual TP Loads in Dry Weather Runoff from Urban Areas in the San Diego Creek Watershed .....	5-28
Table 5-23	Summary of Dissolved Metal TMDLs for San Diego Creek .....	5-28
Table 5-24	Mean and Median Metal Concentrations (mg/l) by Site .....	5-30
Table 5-25	Summary of OCPFRD Dry Weather Monitoring Data of San Diego Creek at Campus Drive .....	5-30
Table 5-26	Mean and Median Fecal Coliform Concentration (MPN/100ml) by Site .....	5-32
Table 6-1	Calls from Residential Customers in R3 Study.....	6-2
Table 6-2	ET Controller Selected Responses .....	6-5
Table 6-3	Education Material Selected Responses .....	6-6
Figure ES-1	R3 Study Participants .....	ES-2
Figure ES-2	R3 Study Areas .....	ES-3
Figure ES-3	Residential Water Savings: Technology + Education.....	ES-4
Figure ES-4	Residential Water Savings: Education Only .....	ES-5
Figure ES-5	Changes in Runoff Within Each Site.....	ES-5
Figure 1-1	R3 Study Partners.....	1-2
Figure 2-1	Location of R3 Study Area Within Southern Callifornia .....	2-3
Figure 2-2	R3 Study Neighborhood Areas.....	2-4
Figure 2-3	ET Controller .....	2-7
Figure 3-1	Effect of ET Intervention on Seasonal Water Demand for Single-family Residential.....	3-7
Figure 3-2	Estimated Effect of Education-only on Seasonal Water Demand for Single-family Residential .....	3-7
Figure 4-1	Flow Monitor Locations .....	4-2
Figure 4-2	Downloading Data from Sigma 950 Flow Monitor to Laptop .....	4-3
Figure 4-3	R3 Study's Changes in Runoff (Within Sites) .....	4-6
Figure 5-1	Example Time-series Plot of Total Phosphorous with Storm Event Markers.....	5-10
Figure 5-2	Example Time-series Plot of Total Nitrogen with Storm Event Markers.....	5-10
Figure 5-3	Example Probability Plot of Total Phosphorus for All Sites Prior to Intervention.....	5-11
Figure 5-4	Side-by-side Box Plots of Pre- Versus Post-intervention for Total Nitrogen at All Sites.....	5-14
Figure 5-5	Example Probability Plot of Pre- Versus Post-intervention for Total Nitrogen at Site 1001 .....	5-14
Figure 5-6	Example Probability Plot for Total Nitrogen of Site 1001 versus Site 1002 for the Pre- and Post-intervention Periods .....	5-15
Figure 5-7	Example Probability Plot for Total Nitrogen of Site 1001 versus Site 1005 for the Pre- and Post-intervention Periods .....	5-15
Figure 5-8	Side-by-side Box Plot and Probability of Pre- versus Post-intervention For Total Nitrogen Flues at Site 1001 .....	5-17
Figure 5-9	Time Series of Fecal Coliform Levels of San Diego Creek at Campus Drive.....	5-32

Figure 6-1	How Residents Ranked Landscape Appearance .....	6-3
Figure 6-2	Method of Watering .....	6-3
Figure 6-3	Residents Observations of Runoff in Their Neighborhood .....	6-4
Figure 6-4	Use of Fertilizer .....	6-4
Figure 6-5	Use of Chemicals .....	6-4
Figure 7-1	Detail of Diversion V-notch Design of Weir Installed in Large Drainage Pipe .....	7-2
Exhibit A:	Monthly Landscape Maintenance Tips Letter (Retrofit) .....	6-7
Exhibit B:	Monthly Landscape Maintenance Tips Letter (Education Only) .....	6-8
Exhibit C:	Monthly Landscape Maintenance Calendar .....	6-9
Exhibit D:	Monthly Landscape Maintenance Guide .....	6-9